



INVESTOR INSIGHTS SERIES

Looking for the Best of Both Worlds

Investors turn to ESG to fulfill personal values and performance expectations

Professional investors across the globe say their top reason for implementing environmental, social, and governance (ESG) investment strategies is to align organizational values with their investment assets. In line with this, the ability to invest according to personal values and ethical requirements is important to individuals. But in the process of pursuing important sustainability goals, many are realizing that ESG has the potential to provide investment benefits as well.

In fact, professional investors believe ESG has the potential to support both sides of the risk/return equation. Six in ten professionals also believe there is alpha to be found in ESG. But in an age of heightened regulation and increased public scrutiny, the professionals also believe these strategies can mitigate exposure to governance and social risks not captured in traditional analysis.

Individual investors share a similar perspective: More than half (56%) of those surveyed believe that all things being equal, companies that demonstrate a higher level of integrity will outperform similar companies that do not. This is an important consideration, since half of investors say they are not willing to give up investment performance in order to align their assets with their values.

6 in 10 professionals agree there is alpha to be found in ESG investing.


Nearly two-thirds of institutional investors believe ESG will become an industry standard within the next five years.

7 in 10 individual investors believe it is important to make a positive social impact through their investments.

ABOUT THE SURVEYS

The Natixis Investment Managers ESG Cross-Survey Report leverages data from four global surveys:

2018 Global Surveys of Financial Professionals, Individual Investors, Institutional Investors and Professional Fund Buyers.



Survey methodologies

Natixis Investment Managers, Global Survey of Financial Professionals conducted by CoreData Research in March 2018. Survey included 2,775 financial professionals in 16 countries.

Natixis Investment Managers, Global Survey of Individual Investors conducted by CoreData Research, September 2018. Survey included 9,100 investors from 25 countries.

Natixis Investment Managers, Global Survey of Institutional Investors conducted by CoreData Research in September and October 2018. Survey included 500 institutional investors in 28 countries.

The Natixis Investment Managers Global Survey of Professional Fund Buyers was conducted by CoreData Research in October and November 2018. The survey included 200 respondents in 22 countries throughout North America, Latin America, the United Kingdom, Continental Europe and the Middle East.

Growing demand for ESG

But as it moves out of the narrow scope of negative screening once associated with socially responsible investing and expands into a broad set of strategies, investors will need greater clarity and definition on how ESG is implemented – and why. With asset managers offering strategies built on negative screening, positive screening, thematic investing, impact investing, and full-on ESG integration, the industry needs to adopt a standard taxonomy that allows investors to match their motivations for implementing ESG with the strategies asset managers deliver.

Looking across results from the most recent Natixis Global Survey of Individual Investors, Global Survey of Financial Professionals, and Global Survey of Institutional Investors, we find three significant issues that will drive decisions on ESG investing:

- **Positive performance perceptions:** Investor sentiment is positive on the potential for ESG to drive investment performance. The industry will need to harness this tailwind and clearly communicate ESG’s role in investment strategy, rather than what some might incorrectly assume is a “feel-good filter.”
- **Demonstrating the asset to values connection:** Investors want investment performance, but they also want to see that investment selection actually helps achieve positive results on the values side of the scale. This will require the adoption of standards for measuring and reporting results. For many, the key toward achieving this goal has been made with large global companies adopting the Sustainable Development Goals as outlined by the United Nations. But broader adherence and more convincing narratives about how they are in fact contributing to the SDGs, are needed.

- **Closing the information gap:** Despite positive performance perceptions and growing demand for investments that reflect their values, investors and institutions still hunger for more information to support their ESG investment decisions.

Nearly two-thirds of institutional investors believe ESG will become an industry standard within the next five years, and an important part of that argument comes down to how well these strategies can perform on all three fronts.

Investors see potential performance advantages

At a time when they are seeking more opportunities to diversify returns and manage risk, professional investors believe ESG can play a role. A majority of professional investors believe there is alpha to be found in ESG (including 59% of financial professionals and 56% of institutional investors).

What many may find is that fulfilling performance assumptions is not as simple as choosing and following an ESG index. Generating this alpha requires significant levels of expertise, deep company and industry analysis, and a highly active approach to portfolio management. The same is true for mitigating risk.

Now, as lapses in corporate governance, powerful social movements, and environmental issues make headlines, professionals see that ESG analysis also offers potential benefits on the risk side of the investment equation. In fact, 56% of institutional investors believe ESG mitigates governance and social risks, such as loss of assets due to lawsuits, social discord, or environmental harm.

To understand investors, think of them as consumers

The wallet has long been a powerful tool for consumers to express their values through their money. The same holds true for the power of the portfolio.



As Consumers



As Investors



Going forward, it will be important to have this values-based discussion with investors in order to understand which companies or industries get a thumbs up with their assets and which get a thumbs down.

Source: Natixis Investment Managers 2018 Global Survey of Individual Investors.

Initial steps toward proving potential

Recent research by MSCI suggests that professionals may be on to something in their assumptions on the effect of positive ESG records on risk and return performance. In its 2017 paper, "Foundations of ESG Investing,"¹ MSCI examined the impact of ESG on valuations, risk, and performance of equities on 1,600 stocks globally. Examining how information within companies is transmitted to the equity markets, the authors found three potential advantages for those organizations with good ESG characteristics:

- **Cash flow:** Researchers suggest that companies with high ESG ratings may be more competitive and can generate abnormal returns, which leads to higher profitability and dividend payments.
- **Idiosyncratic risk:** The research indicates that high ESG-rated companies may be better at managing company-specific and operational risks, resulting in a lower probability of suffering incidents that can impact their share price. As a result, there is lower idiosyncratic tail risk to their share prices.
- **Valuation:** These companies generally have lower exposure to systemic risk factors, and as a result, their expected cost of capital is lower, which results in higher valuations in a discounted cash flow analysis framework.

Insights like these are a positive step forward on the road to broad-based adoption of ESG investment practices, but should be kept in perspective. Many times, there can be a correlation between ESG performance and financial performance, but some experts caution that correlation does not necessarily mean causation.

Comparative measures of ESG performance can sometimes be limited by the size and sophistication of the companies covered and even the regions where they are based. Larger companies with deeper resources are in a better position to report on sustainability initiatives, or how they are contributing to Sustainable Development Goals, than smaller organizations, giving them the advantage. Similarly, companies based in regions with more stringent regulations need to comply, increasing the likelihood that they will take action on ESG issues.

Key strategies for pursuing ESG objectives

- **Negative screening:** excludes countries, companies, industries based on poor ESG records and performance
- **Positive/Best-in-class screening:** invests in sectors, companies, or projects selected for positive ESG performance compared to peers
- **Thematic strategies:** invest in megatrends related to global sustainability
- **Impact strategies:** aim at solving social or environmental problems
- **Integration strategies:** make analysis of ESG risks and opportunities part of the fundamental analysis process
- **Engagement:** using the voice of the shareholder to influence change on sustainability issues such as climate change and reduction in carbon footprints, often through proxy voting policies

Tools of the trade

Despite any potential limitations, these tools present significant advantages. They provide a new, specific stream of data to consider in fundamental analysis. Understanding the potential value these tools provide may be especially important in the broader adoption of ESG. In the past, analysts may have failed to consider ESG, but now they are gaining access to tools to support a process that is designed to achieve their ultimate goal: better risk-adjusted returns.

It's not just institutional investors that benefit from the marriage of financial and ESG goals. Individual investors also want to ensure that they are delivering on sustainability goals, while also attaining the investment performance needed to meet their financial goals.

How are institutions implementing ESG?



46%

of institutions who implement ESG say they believe this analysis is as important to their investment process as traditional fundamental analysis.

Top 5 Ways Institutions are Implementing ESG:

①

ESG integration

②

Negative screens

③

Active ownership

④

Best-in-class strategies

⑤

Impact investing

Source: Natixis Investment Managers 2018 Global Survey of Institutional Investors

Investing with enlightened self-interest

Three-quarters of those included in our 2018 Global Survey of Individual Investors say that it is important to have the ability to invest in accordance with their personal values and ethical requirements; one-quarter deems it very important. This is in line with results from our 2017 survey, where 78% said it's important to invest in companies that reflect their personal values, and 2016's survey where 75% agreed.

But their desire to put their assets behind their values and causes that matter most in their lives does not include a tradeoff in performance expectations. In fact, half of those surveyed say that they want their investments aligned with their values, but not at the cost of investment performance.

While not willing to accept lower performance, a majority of investors may not think there has to be a tradeoff. Overall, 56% believe that all things being equal, a company that demonstrates higher integrity will outperform similar companies that do not. To confirm this conviction, nearly three-quarters of investors say that if a fund demonstrated a better carbon footprint, they would buy it.

The why, how, and what of ESG

Growing interest and demand for ESG has brought with it a more expansive tool box. Ranging from the negative screens associated with socially responsible investments, to the forward-looking thematic strategies, to the issues orientation of impact investing, investors have options for implementing ESG. In order to determine which of these strategies is best suited to investor and institutional portfolios, it's most critical to understand the motives and expectations behind the decision to deploy an ESG strategy.

Institutions have a range of reasons for implementing ESG investment strategies: Six in ten (59%) say it is to align investment strategies with organizational values. Nearly four in ten (38%) say it is to help minimize headline risk (respondents were asked to choose their top two reasons). Three in ten (30%) also report that ESG is implemented to comply with the organization's investment policy statement. One-fifth also say their ESG is incorporated as a way of generating higher risk-adjusted returns.

Growing numbers incorporate ESG

Sustainable investing has gained a significant foothold within institutions, with six out of ten institutional investors reporting that they incorporate ESG. And even if they have not yet begun to implement these strategies, institutional investors sense they soon will, as 65% believe ESG will become a standard practice in the next five years – a number that is up from 62% in 2016, and 60% in 2017.

Among those who implement ESG today, there is a wide belief that consideration of these factors is a critical part of their investment process. In fact, 46% of those who implement ESG say they believe this analysis is as important to their investment process as traditional fundamental analysis. In the process of examining ESG factors, the respondents are focused across all three factors, though somewhat greater importance is given to environmental (76%) and governance (70%) factors than social factors (61%).

Investors are predisposed to ESG investing



say the ability to invest according to personal values and ethical requirements is important



believe that all else equal, a company that exhibits higher integrity in its practices will outperform its peers



would be more inclined to buy a fund if it demonstrated a better carbon footprint than others

Source: Natixis Investment Managers Global Survey of Individual Investors

As they look to follow through on these views with investments, institutions are integrating a wide range of strategies. Most frequently, they deploy ESG integration, which makes analysis of ESG factors part of their fundamental analysis process. Beyond this, 28% use negative screens to exclude investments based on poor ESG performance, while 13% implement best-in-class strategies that select companies based on positive ESG performance relative to peers. And 10% also deploy impact strategies aimed at solving key social or environmental problems, while 6% say they use thematic strategies to invest in trends related to global sustainability.

65% believe ESG will become a standard practice in the next five years.

In terms of how they manage portfolio implementation, most institutions (51%) rely on in-house teams. But few see the process as an either-or proposition. Many institutional investors combine internal and external capabilities to manage ESG, including third-party managers (33%), outsourced CIOs (24%), and institutional consultants (23%) for ESG expertise.

ESG is making inroads in wholesale markets as well, where 65% of fund buyers say it is part of their investment practices. In this field, slightly fewer rely on full integration (28%) and exclusionary screening (22%), but larger numbers employ both impact investing and best-in-class approaches (15% each).

ESG preferences among individual investors

A majority of investors worldwide agree that it is important to invest in companies that reflect their personal values, and when it comes down to it they share consistent views on what they see as most important. In the environmental realm, investors most frequently say they are concerned with pollution (52%). But given multiple choices, large numbers also identify climate change (45%), waste and recycling (40%), water use (33%), and renewable energy (32%) as the issues they care most about.

On the social side, investors were most concerned with human rights (54%), but large numbers also cited employee health and safety (45%), economic inequality (38%), labor practices (29%), and gender equality (27%). Surprisingly, there was a high level of consistency in the ranking of these issues across age groups and gender with two big exceptions: 1) women (31%) are more likely to care more about gender equality than men (24%); and 2) members of the Silent Generation (53%) care more about employee health and safety than the global population (45%).

In the area of governance, bribery and corruption (60%) ranked highest. Many investors are also concerned with transparency (48%) and business ethics (43%), while executive compensation (23%) and shareholder rights (22%) rank lower. One slight, surprising difference of opinion can be found in concerns about transparency, as Baby Boomers (52%) and the Silent Generation (56%) were more likely to care about the issue than Millennials (44%) or Generation X (48%).

Action and engagement

In terms of monitoring and managing ESG exposures within their portfolio, investors even show clear preferences in what actions they want to take when a violator is brought to light. Sin stocks (weapons, tobacco, gambling companies) garner the strongest reactions from investors. When asked how they would like to handle these stocks in their portfolio, 44% would want to sell, while 18% would want to engage with the company. Only 20% said they would continue to hold the companies, a number that corresponds directly to the number who say they do not consider their personal values when investing.

Investors are split in how they would react to polluters in their portfolio. In this case, 35% would want to sell the holding, and another 29% would want to engage with the company in question. It is interesting to note that Millennials (35%) are more likely to want to engage with the polluter than other investors, which is in keeping with this generation's activist reputation.

What matters most to investors when it comes to the E, the S and the G?



Investors express similar sentiment toward companies that seek influence by making political contributions to gain preferential treatment: 32% would sell, and 25% would look to engage, while 23% would hold. Investors are somewhat more inclined (30%) to engage with companies that lack diversity or gender equality in top management than they are to sell (25%). As with other issues, Millennials are most clear in their preference with 37% seeking engagement here as well, indicating that they are looking to do more than just align investments to their values and instead want to take action.

Based on the positive perceptions held by both individual and professional investors, it would appear that ESG should be a central point in the investment discussions across the globe, but a deeper look at the challenges identifies an information gap that may be impeding more widespread adoption.

Closing the information gap

When asked what they consider to be a challenge with ESG investing, institutions most frequently cite what they perceive to be the lack of an established track record (43%) and that they find it difficult to measure performance (43%). These reservations may not be founded in fact. ESG investing is not a new practice at all. Even though growing interest in ESG has brought a number of new entrants to the field, there are managers with 20-plus years of experience with impact investing, thematic strategies, and even the negative screens many associate with old-school socially responsible investing.

In terms of performance reporting, professional investors have more tools at their disposal than ever before. For example, the MSCI ESG Index Family provides an integrated solution for examining environmental, social and governance factors, flagging outliers in institutional portfolios and providing company performance factors. The index does not exclude industries or sectors; instead, companies within a sector are ranked relative to each other.

For mutual funds, Morningstar has partnered with ESG specialist Sustainalytics for sustainability ratings that measure how well fund holdings manage ESG risks and opportunities as compared to their peer group.

Top 5 Reasons Institutions Implement ESG




Source: Natixis Investment Managers 2018 Global Survey of Institutional Investors

In addition, leading investment publishers including Barron's, Thomson Reuters, and Bloomberg have introduced ESG rankings and tools to support ESG analysis.

When it comes down to evaluating ESG performance, institutions deploy a wide range of these tools and other strategies in their ESG analysis. Most frequently they turn to sustainability ratings (70%) in this part of their analysis. But many also rely on company reports (57%), rankings and awards (37%), regulatory filings, news reports (24%), and non-governmental organizations (23%). What appears to be critical to continued growth is more broad-based availability and acceptance of third-party evaluation standards, the most ubiquitous of which are the Sustainable Development Goals.

Who do institutions rely on to manage ESG strategies?



Source: Natixis Investment Managers 2018 Global Survey of Institutional Investors. Question sample size=486 respondents, 38.7% of whom indicated they do not invest in ESG strategies.

Information, individuals, and financial professionals

Information is also key for individual investors. One important factor to be addressed is the need to validate investors’ decisions to align their values and their portfolio. We see that despite wanting the ability to make this alignment, about half of those surveyed (47%) think that their investments can actually have a positive impact on the world. But one number does not tell the whole story.

Looking at generational differences in the investor data shows a significant split between the attitudes of younger investors and older investors. When posed the same question, 56% of Millennial investors said they believe their investments can have a positive impact on the world, while 48% of Generation X, 41% of Baby Boomers, and just 30% of the Silent Generation share the same belief.

Impact reporting is one way to help address investors’ beliefs and motivations. This may not only be needed to enhance adoption of ESG, but it may also be needed to improve clarity about investing overall. For example, only 52% of those surveyed say they are aware of the companies and products their assets go to when investing in a mutual fund. Fewer (47%) say they have all the information they need to make socially responsible investment decisions.

For professionals, closing the information gap could be a significant step to enhancing long-term client relationships.


Considering that 88% of financial professionals globally say the key to their success is their ability to demonstrate value above and beyond asset allocation, being more attuned to client values could be a clear point of differentiation. But in the analysis of individual and professional perception, the conversation that’s happening today could be clearer.

Professionals see that ESG analysis offers potential benefits on the risk side of the investment equation.

Despite the large number of investors who think it is important to align their investments with their value, only one-third of advisors believe clients are asking more for ESG. Advisors may be slow to recognize an important investment trend: With investor interest increasing, only 15% of financial professionals think they need to get better at explaining ESG.

Beyond better investor conversations, there are many steps the financial industry can take to ensure that individuals, institutions, and other professional investors are in the position to realize their desire to align their investments with their personal and organizational values.

Where do institutions turn when evaluating ESG?



Source: Natixis Investment Managers 2018 Global Survey of Institutional Investors

Three steps to ensure greater acceptance across the investment value chain

There are some who look at ESG investing, see the increased due diligence and analysis, the potential for new sources of alpha, and the potential risk management benefits, and say that it should just be called investing. But the road to such broad-based acceptance is not without its challenges. As a result, the investment industry – including institutional investors, investment distributors, financial professionals and asset managers – will need a concentrated effort to address three key goals:

1. Enable investors to align their assets with their personal values.

This will require better investor education to help them understand the strategies that will let them state these values in investment terms. Not only will financial professionals need to actively listen for how investors express this preference and what they want to accomplish with their money, but also engage in better education and training on the ESG strategies that help clients realize this goal – be it values alignment, better risk management or influencing corporate behavior.

2. Make ESG part of the investment performance discussion.

One of the key questions for investors is whether the investments they choose to support their values are actually delivering on that objective. Asset managers who promote ESG investments have a responsibility to report not only on their investment performance but on how well their funds have delivered on ESG goals. For example, can they demonstrate that their integration strategy has enhanced risk-adjusted returns? Or can they demonstrate that their screening process has either helped them avoid bad risks or helped them capitalize on top performers?

Investors clearly outline their interest in ESG strategies; the industry should do its utmost to prove they can deliver.

3. Put everyone on the same page with clearer definitions of what is meant by ESG.

It starts by establishing consistent terminology on ESG, such as the taxonomy to be proposed by the EU Technical Expert Group on Sustainable Finance, which is slated to deliver its recommendations in June 2019. But it also means establishing clear standards for identifying which strategies will help investors achieve which motives and standardized reporting on which investments help investors achieve which goals.

PROGRAM OVERVIEW

About the Natixis Center for Investor Insight

Investing can be complicated: Event risk is greater and more frequent. Volatility is persistent despite market gains. And investment products are more complex. These factors and others weigh on the psyche of investors and shape their attitudes and perceptions, which ultimately influence their investment decisions. The Center for Investor Insight conducts research with investors around the globe to gain an understanding of their feelings about risk, their attitudes toward the markets and their perceptions of investing.

Research agenda

Our annual research program offers insights into the perceptions and motivations of individuals, institutions and financial professionals around the globe and looks at financial, economic and public policy factors that shape retirement globally with:

- Global Survey of Individual Investors – reaches out to 9,100 investors in 25 countries.
- Global Survey of Financial Professionals – reaches out to 2,775 professionals in 16 countries.
- Global Survey of Institutional Investors – reaches out to 500 institutional investors in 30 countries.
- Natixis Global Retirement Index – provides insight into the environment for retirees globally based on 18 economic, regulatory and health factors.

The end result is a comprehensive look into the minds of investors – and the challenges they face as they pursue long-term investment goals.



Keep calm and invest on

And eight other ideas driving institutional investment strategy in 2019



Out of the Chaos and into Conflict

Investor sentiment ten years after the global financial crisis



Meeting of the mind

Advisor success depends on fusing empirical data with personal empathy

> To learn more:

Visit: im.natixis.com

Investing involves risk, including the risk of loss. Sustainable investing focuses on investments in companies that relate to certain sustainable development themes and demonstrate adherence to environmental, social and governance (ESG) practices; therefore the universe of investments may be limited and investors may not be able to take advantage of the same opportunities or market trends as investors that do not use such criteria. This could have a negative impact on an investor's overall performance depending on whether such investments are in or out of favor.

Surveys cited: Natixis Investment Managers, Global Survey of Financial Professionals conducted by CoreData Research in March 2018. Survey included 2,775 financial professionals in 16 countries.

Natixis Investment Managers, Global Survey of Individual Investors conducted by CoreData Research, September 2018. Survey included 9,100 investors from 25 countries.

Natixis Investment Managers, Global Survey of Individual Investors conducted by CoreData Research, February-March 2016. Survey included 7,100 investors from 22 countries.

Natixis Investment Managers, Global Survey of Individual Investors conducted by CoreData Research, February-March 2017. Survey included 8,300 investors from 26 countries.

Natixis Investment Managers, Global Survey of Institutional Investors conducted by CoreData Research in September and October 2018. Survey included 500 institutional investors in 28 countries.

The Natixis Investment Managers Global Survey of Professional Fund Buyers was conducted by CoreData Research in October and November 2018. The survey included 200 respondents in 22 countries throughout North America, Latin America, the United Kingdom, Continental Europe, Asia and the Middle East.

Alpha: A measure of the difference between a portfolio's actual returns and its expected performance, given its level of systematic market risk. A positive alpha indicates outperformance and negative alpha indicates underperformance relative to the portfolio's level of systematic risk.

The MSCI ESG Universal Index Family is the latest in a suite of MSCI Indexes and tools designed to help institutional investors globally integrate ESG into their investment decision-making processes.

Barron's Top 100 Most Sustainable U.S. Companies was compiled by Calvert Research and Management, based on hundreds of metrics that address environmental, social, and corporate governance, or ESG, factors.

Bloomberg makes ESG data relevant and actionable for financial market participants by collecting, verifying and sharing this data from more than 11,500 companies in 83 countries. Investors can incorporate ESG data into their financial analysis, generating critical insights into risks and opportunities in the evolving global economy.

Sustainalytics is the leading independent global provider of ESG and corporate governance research and ratings to investors.

Thomson Reuters ESG Scores are designed to transparently and objectively measure a company's relative ESG performance, commitment and effectiveness across 10 main themes (emissions, environmental product innovation, human rights, shareholders, etc.) based on company-reported information. An overall ESG Combined Score is further calculated which discounts the ESG Score for news controversies which materially impact the corporations.

Outside the United States, this communication is for information only and is intended for investment service providers or other Professional Clients. This material must not be used with Retail Investors. This material may not be redistributed, published, or reproduced, in whole or in part. Although Natixis Investment Managers believes the information provided in this material to be reliable, including that from third party sources, it does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of such information.

In the EU (ex UK and France): Provided by Natixis Investment Managers S.A. or one of its branch offices listed below. Natixis Investment Managers S.A. is a Luxembourg management company that is authorized by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier and is incorporated under Luxembourg laws and registered under n. B 115843. Registered office of Natixis Investment Managers S.A.: 2, rue Jean Monnet, L-2180 Luxembourg, Grand Duchy of Luxembourg. **Italy:** Natixis Investment Managers S.A., Succursale Italiana (Bank of Italy Register of Italian Asset Management Companies no 23458.3). Registered office: Via Larga, 2 - 20122, Milan, Italy. **Germany:** Natixis Investment Managers S.A., Zweigniederlassung Deutschland (Registration number: HRB 88541). Registered office: Im Trutz Frankfurt 55, Westend Carrée, 7. Floor, Frankfurt am Main 60322, Germany. **Netherlands:** Natixis Investment Managers, Nederlands (Registration number 50774670). Registered office: Stadsplateau 7, 3521AZ Utrecht, the Netherlands. **Sweden:** Natixis Investment Managers, Nordics Filial (Registration number 516405-9601 - Swedish Companies Registration Office). Registered office: Kungsgatan 48 5tr, Stockholm 111 35, Sweden. **Spain:** Natixis Investment Managers, Sucursal en España. Serrano n°90, 6th Floor, 28006 Madrid, Spain.

In France: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers International – a portfolio management company authorized by the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (French Financial Markets Authority - AMF) under no. GP 90-009, and a public limited company (société anonyme) registered in the Paris Trade and Companies Register under no. 329 450 738. Registered office: 43 avenue Pierre Mendès France, 75013 Paris.

In Switzerland: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers, Switzerland Sàrl, Rue du Vieux Collège 10, 1204 Geneva, Switzerland or its representative office in Zurich, Schweizergasse 6, 8001 Zürich.

In the British Isles, this material is provided by Natixis Investment Managers UK Limited which is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (register no. 190258) - registered office: Natixis Investment Managers UK Limited, One Carter Lane, London, EC4V 5ER. When permitted, the distribution of this material is intended to be made to persons as described as follows: in the United Kingdom: this material is intended to be communicated to and/or directed at investment professionals and professional investors only; in Ireland: this material is intended to be communicated to and/or directed at professional investors only; in Guernsey: this material is intended to be communicated to and/or directed at only financial services providers which hold a license from the Guernsey Financial Services Commission; in Jersey: this material is intended to be communicated to and/or directed at professional investors only; in the Isle of Man: this material is intended to be communicated to and/or directed at only financial services providers which hold a license from the Isle of Man Financial Services Authority or insurers authorised under section 8 of the Insurance Act 2008.

In the DIFC: Distributed in and from the DIFC financial district to Professional Clients only by Natixis Investment Managers Middle East (DIFC Branch) which is regulated by the DFSA. Related financial products or services are only available to persons who have sufficient financial experience and understanding to participate in financial markets within the DIFC, and qualify as Professional Clients as defined by the DFSA. Registered office: Office 603 - Level 6, Currency House Tower 2, PO Box 118257, DIFC, Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

In Singapore: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Singapore (name registration no. 53102724D), a division of Ostrum Asset Management Asia Limited (company registration no. 199801044D). Registered address of Natixis Investment Managers Singapore: 5 Shenton Way, #22-05 UIC Building, Singapore 068808.

In Taiwan: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Securities Investment Consulting (Taipei) Co., Ltd., a Securities Investment Consulting Enterprise regulated by the Financial Supervisory Commission of the R.O.C. Registered address: 34F, No. 68, Sec. 5, Zhongxiao East Road, Xinyi Dist., Taipei City 11065, Taiwan (R.O.C.), license number 2018 FSC SICE No. 024, Tel. +886 2 8789 2788.

In Japan: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Japan Co., Ltd., Registration No.: Director-General of the Kanto Local Financial Bureau (kinsho) No. 425. Content of Business: The Company conducts discretionary asset management business and investment advisory and agency business as a Financial Instruments Business Operator. Registered address: 1-4-5, Roppongi, Minato-ku, Tokyo.

In Hong Kong: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Hong Kong Limited to institutional/corporate professional investors only.

In Australia: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Australia Pty Limited (ABN 60 088 786 289) (AFSL No. 246830) and is intended for the general information of financial advisers and wholesale clients only.

In New Zealand: This document is intended for the general information of New Zealand wholesale investors only and does not constitute financial advice. This is not a regulated offer for the purposes of the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 (FMCA) and is only available to New Zealand investors who have certified that they meet the requirements in the FMCA for wholesale investors. Natixis Investment Managers Australia Pty Limited is not a registered financial service provider in New Zealand.

In Latin America: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers S.A.

In Colombia: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers S.A. Oficina de Representación (Colombia) to professional clients for informational purposes only as permitted under Decree 2555 of 2010. Any products, services or investments referred to herein are rendered exclusively outside of Colombia.

In Mexico: Provided by Natixis IM Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V., which is not a regulated financial entity, securities intermediary, or an investment manager in terms of the Mexican Securities Market Law (Ley del Mercado de Valores) and is not registered with the Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores (CNBV) or any other Mexican authority. Any products, services or investments referred to herein that require authorization or license are rendered exclusively outside of Mexico. While shares of certain ETFs may be listed in the Sistema Internacional de Cotizaciones (SIC), such listing does not represent a public offering of securities in Mexico, and therefore the accuracy of this information has not been confirmed by the CNBV. Natixis Investment Managers is an entity organized under the laws of France and is not authorized by or registered with the CNBV or any other Mexican authority. Any reference contained herein to "Investment Managers" is made to Natixis Investment Managers and/or any of its investment management subsidiaries, which are also not authorized by or registered with the CNBV or any other Mexican authority.

In Uruguay: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Uruguay S.A., a duly registered investment advisor, authorized and supervised by the Central Bank of Uruguay. Office: San Lucar 1491, oficina 102B, Montevideo, Uruguay, CP 11500.

The above referenced entities are business development units of Natixis Investment Managers, the holding company of a diverse line-up of specialized investment management and distribution entities worldwide. The investment management subsidiaries of Natixis Investment Managers conduct any regulated activities only in and from the jurisdictions in which they are licensed or authorized. Their services and the products they manage are not available to all investors in all jurisdictions.

In Canada: This material is provided by Natixis Investment Managers Canada LP, 145 King Street West, Suite 1500, Toronto, ON M5H 1J8.

In the United States: Provided by Natixis Distribution, L.P., 888 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02199. Natixis Distribution, L.P. is a limited purpose broker-dealer and the distributor of various registered investment companies for which advisory services are provided by affiliates of Natixis Investment Managers.

Natixis Investment Managers includes all of the investment management and distribution entities affiliated with Natixis Distribution, L.P. and Natixis Investment Managers S.A. This material should not be considered a solicitation to buy or an offer to sell any product or service to any person in any jurisdiction where such activity would be unlawful.